

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TENGTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 14087 of 2004

Between:

- 1 Pathi Raviprasad, s/o Srinivasa Sarma,
Door No.10-91, Durganagar, R.R.V.Puram Post,

Visakhapatnam.

- 2 Mindi Srinivas, s/o Seetharama Murty,
Ajantha Colony, R.R.V.Puram Post,
Visakhapatnam.
- 3 Makana Mahesh, s/o Satyanarayana,
c/o P.S.Sarma, Durganagar, R.R.V.Puram Post,
Visakhapatnam.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1. The Transmission Corporation of Andhra Pradesh Limited, rep., by its
Chair Person and Managing Director,
Vidyut Soudha, Hyderabad.
2. The Chairman & Managing Director, A.P.E.P.D.C.L., Visakhapatnam.
3. The Superintending Engineer, Operation Circle, EPDCL, Vizianagaram.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue any appropriate writ, order or direction preferably a writ in the nature of writ of mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents in not considering the petitioners to the posts of Junior Linemen sanctioned vide order passed in T.O.O.(CGM-HRD) Ms.No.275 (Personnel Services) dt.1-3-2004 issued by the 1st respondent, despite

- — — — —

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

ORDER:

—

—

The petitioners contended that they worked as Contract Labour in the first respondent Corporation for a period of 2 years. The first petitioner worked from 12-11-1996 to 31-12-1997, the second petitioner from 28-11-1996 to 31-12-1998 and

the third petitioner from 23-10-1996 to 31-12-1997. They further contended that as per the orders of the first respondent in T.O.O.(CGM-HRD) Ms.No.275 (Personnel Services) and T.O.O.(CGM-HRD) Ms.No.263 (Personnel Services), dated 1-3-2004, the petitioners are entitled to be considered as Junior Linemen. The grievance of the petitioners is that the third respondent, though considered some candidates and appointed them, as Junior Linemen, did not consider the case of the petitioners.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that there are 24 vacancies of Junior Linemen on contract basis under the control of the third respondent, and the first respondent is making efforts to fill up the vacancies, therefore, it is appropriate for the third respondent to consider the names of the petitioners also for appointment as Junior Linemen on contract basis. The petitioners have not made any representation so far.

3. In the light of the circumstances narrated by the petitioners, they are directed to submit their representations to the third respondent giving their qualifications and other particulars, with a request to consider their names for the posts of Junior Linemen on contract basis. On receipt of those applications, the third respondent may along with other candidates consider the names of the petitioners for appointment as Junior Linemen on contract basis, and pass appropriate orders as per the existing rules and standing instructions.

4. The Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

10th August, 2004.

Mrb

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Chair Person and Managing Director,
The Transmission Corporation of Andhra Pradesh Limited,
Vidyut Soudha, Hyderabad.
2. The Chairman & Managing Director, A.P.E.P.D.C.L., Visakhapatnam.
3. The Superintending Engineer, Operation Circle, EPDCL, Vizianagaram.
4. Two CD Copies.

